# CS 6511: Artificial Intelligence Reinforcement Learning



The George Washington University

[Original version of these slides was created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. http://ai.berkeley.edu]

#### Where we are...

- We've seen how AI methods can solve problems in:
  - Searching for a state
  - Searching for a solution (CSP)
  - Searching for victory (Games)
  - Decision Making in Uncertainty (Markov Decision Problems)
- Next up: More Uncertainty and Learning!
  - Making decisions when we don't know the environment dynamics
  - Learning about the environment



# 3 Forms of Learning

- Supervised Learning: Given training data set, learn the pattern
- Unsupervised Learning: No training data set given, just learn/observe
- Reinforcement Learning: No training data set given, but you can make an action and get some feedback. This feedback can be considered a "mini training episode", with real cost (or reward).

Not to be confused with habituation, sensitization and associative learning – 3 Mechanisms by which people, or dogs, or sea slugs learn! Refer to the "Learning to Learn" lecture.

# **Reinforcement Learning**



- Basic idea:
  - Receive feedback in the form of rewards
  - Agent's utility is defined by the reward function
  - Must (learn to) act so as to maximize expected rewards
  - All learning is based on observed samples of outcomes!

# **Reinforcement Learning**

- Still assume a Markov decision process (MDP):
  - A set of states s ∈ S
  - A set of actions (per state) A
  - A model T(s,a,s')
  - A reward function R(s,a,s')
- Still looking for a policy π(s)







- New twist: don't know T or R
  - That is, we don't know which states are good or what the actions do
  - Must actually try actions and states out to learn

# Offline (MDPs) vs. Online (RL)



#### **Offline Solution**

**Online Learning** 

### **Two Broad Categories**

- Model Based We will learn the MDP model (T, R, ...)
- Model Free We learn the Q, V values directly



# **Model-Based Learning**

- Model-Based Idea:
  - Learn an approximate model based on experiences
  - Solve for values as if the learned model were correct
- Step 1: Learn empirical MDP model
  - Count outcomes s' for each s, a
  - Normalize to give an estimate of  $\hat{T}(s, a, s')$
  - Discover each  $\hat{R}(s, a, s')$  when we experience (s, a, s')
- Step 2: Solve the learned MDP
  - For example, use value iteration, as before





# Example: Model-Based Learning



# **Model-Free Learning**

- A key mechanism to learn in MDP settings
- In this, we don't try to learn T and R values. We learn Q and V values directly.

- Subtopics
  - Passive RL Evaluating a policy V/Q values for given policy
  - Active RL Learn the policy also
  - Q-Learning Learn the Q values, using Exponential Moving Average (EMA)
  - EMA Approach

### **Exponential Moving Average**

- Exponential moving average
  - The running interpolation update:  $\bar{x}_n = (1 \alpha) \cdot \bar{x}_{n-1} + \alpha \cdot x_n$
  - Makes recent samples more important:

$$\bar{x}_n = \frac{x_n + (1 - \alpha) \cdot x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha)^2 \cdot x_{n-2} + \dots}{1 + (1 - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)^2 + \dots}$$

- Forgets about the past (distant past values were wrong anyway)
- Decreasing learning rate (alpha) can give converging averages

# **Passive Reinforcement Learning**

#### Simplified task: policy evaluation

- Input: a fixed policy π(s)
- You don't know the transitions T(s,a,s')
- You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
- Goal: learn the state values



- In this case:
  - Learner is "along for the ride"
  - No choice about what actions to take
  - Just execute the policy and learn from experience
  - This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world.

# **Direct Evaluation**

- Goal: Compute values for each state under  $\pi$
- Idea: Average together observed sample values
  - Act according to  $\pi$
  - Every time you visit a state, write down what the sum of discounted rewards turned out to be
  - Average those samples
- This is called direct evaluation



# Example: Direct Evaluation



#### **Example: Direct Evaluation**

#### Input Policy $\pi$



Assume:  $\gamma = 1$ 

B = 0.8 \* C + 0.1 \* B + 0.1 \* B

→ B = C

E = 0.8 \* C + 0.1 \* E + 0.1 \* E. E = C

Q(C,(E)) = 0.8 \* D + 0.1 \* A + 0.1 \* E C = 8 -1 + 0.1 \* C C \* 0.9 = 7

Q(C,(S)) = 0.8 \* E + 0.1 \* B + 0.1 \* D C = 0.9 C + 1

0.1 C = 1 $C = 10^{\text{WU}}$ 

# Problems with Direct Evaluation

- What's good about direct evaluation?
  - It's easy to understand
  - It doesn't require any knowledge of T, R
  - It eventually computes the correct average values, using just sample transitions
- What bad about it?
  - It wastes information about state connections
  - Each state must be learned separately
  - So, it takes a long time to learn

#### **Output Values**



If B and E both go to C under this policy, how can their values be different?

# Sample-Based Policy Evaluation?

We want to improve our estimate of V by computing these averages:

$$V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]$$

Idea: Take samples of outcomes s' (by doing the action!) and average

$$sample_{1} = R(s, \pi(s), s_{1}') + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s_{1}')$$

$$sample_{2} = R(s, \pi(s), s_{2}') + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s_{2}')$$

$$\dots$$

$$sample_{n} = R(s, \pi(s), s_{n}') + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s_{n}')$$

$$V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} sample_{i}$$



# **Active Reinforcement Learning**

- Full reinforcement learning: optimal policies (like value iteration)
  - You don't know the transitions T(s,a,s')
  - You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
  - You choose the actions now
  - Goal: learn the optimal policy / values

#### In this case:

- Learner makes choices!
- Fundamental tradeoff: exploration vs. exploitation
- This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world and find out what happens...



### **Q-Value Iteration**

- Value iteration: find successive (depth-limited) values
  - Start with V<sub>0</sub>(s) = 0, which we know is right
  - Given V<sub>k</sub>, calculate the depth k+1 values for all states:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]$$

- But Q-values are more useful, so compute them instead
  - Start with Q<sub>0</sub>(s,a) = 0, which we know is right
  - Given Q<sub>k</sub>, calculate the depth k+1 q-values for all q-states:

$$Q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') \left[ R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s',a') \right]$$

# In MDPs in general

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} Q^{*}(s, a)$$
$$Q^{*}(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{*}(s') \right]$$
$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{*}(s') \right]$$

- From Q values, we can compute V values trivially
- From V values, we can compute Q values, but that takes some computation..
- Therefore, if you only want to compute and store one set of values, Q values is an easier choice.

# Q-Learning

We'd like to do Q-value updates to each Q-state:

$$Q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') \left[ R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s',a') \right]$$

- But can't compute this update without knowing T, R
- Instead, compute average as we go
  - Receive a sample transition (s,a,s') with a living reward of r
  - This sample suggests

 $Q(s,a) \approx r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a')$ 

- But we want to average over results from (s,a) (Why?)
- So keep a running average

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)Q(s,a) + (\alpha) \left[ r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a') \right]$$

# Q-Learning

- UpdateQValues(Q)
- In state s, choose action a  $\rightarrow$  Env returns s',r
- Use Max to compute vs' -> This uses current Q
- Q(s,a) = (1-alpha) Q(s,a) + alpha \* (r + gamma \* vs')

# **Q-Learning Properties**

- Amazing result: Q-learning converges to optimal policy -- even if you're acting suboptimally!
- This is called off-policy learning
- Caveats:
  - You have to explore enough
  - You have to eventually make the learning rate small enough
  - ... but not decrease it too quickly
  - Basically, in the limit, it doesn't matter how you select actions (!)



# Time to Recap!

- RL is simple.
- RL is easy.
- RL is intuitive.
- RL (online learning) is very different from MDPs (offline planning)
- We can explain what RL is, in a few sentences to someone who doesn't know RL, including two broad categories of RL.

(Try this in the breakout room.)

#### **Exploration vs. Exploitation**



### Schemes for Forcing Exploration



# **Random Exploration**

#### Simplest: random actions (ε-greedy)

- Every time step, flip a coin
- With (small) probability ε, act randomly
- With (large) probability 1-ε, act on current policy

#### Problems with random actions?

- You do eventually explore the space, but keep thrashing around once learning is done
- One solution: lower  $\epsilon$  over time

# **Exploration Functions**

#### Main idea

 Explore areas whose badness is not (yet) established, eventually stop exploring

How to implement

 Takes a value estimate u and a visit count n, and returns an optimistic utility, e.g.



$$f(u,n) = u + k/r$$

Regular Q-Update:

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow_{\alpha} R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a')$$

Modified Q-Update:

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow_{\alpha} R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} f(Q(s',a'), N(s',a'))$$

Note: this propagates the "bonus" back to states that lead to unknown states as well!

# Regret

- Even if you learn the optimal policy, you still make mistakes along the way!
- Regret is a measure of your total mistake cost: the difference between actual rewards and optimal (expected) rewards

Empirically: Random exploration and exploration functions both end up optimal, but random exploration has higher regret.



Despite all our efforts...

### REINFORCEMENT LEARNING IS OUT OF SPACE





WALT CHRISTMAS

# **Generalizing Across States**

- Basic Q-Learning keeps a table of all q-values
- In realistic situations, we cannot possibly learn about every single state!
  - Too many states to visit them all in training
  - Too many states to hold the q-tables in memory
- Instead, we want to generalize:
  - Learn about some small number of training states from experience
  - Generalize that experience to new, similar situations
  - This is a fundamental idea in machine learning, and we'll see it repeatedly



### Example: Pacman

Let's say we discover through experience that this state is bad: In naïve q-learning, we know nothing about this state:

#### Or even this one!







### **Feature-Based Representations**

- Solution: describe a state using a vector of features (properties)
  - Features are functions from states to real numbers (often 0/1) that capture important properties of the state
  - Example features:
    - Distance to closest ghost
    - Distance to closest dot
    - Number of ghosts
    - 1 / (dist to dot)<sup>2</sup>
    - Is Pacman in a tunnel? (0/1)
    - ..... etc.
    - Is it the exact state on this slide?
  - Can also describe a q-state (s, a) with features (e.g. action moves closer to food)



### **Linear Value Functions**

Using a feature representation, we can write a q function (or value function) for any state using a few weights:

$$V(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s)$$

$$Q(s,a) = w_1 f_1(s,a) + w_2 f_2(s,a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s,a)$$

- Advantage: our experience is summed up in a few powerful numbers
- Disadvantage: states may share features but actually be very different in value!

# **Approximate Q-Learning**

$$Q(s,a) = w_1 f_1(s,a) + w_2 f_2(s,a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s,a)$$

Q-learning with linear Q-functions: 

transition = 
$$(s, a, r, s')$$
  
difference =  $\left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')\right] - Q(s, a)$   
 $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha$  [difference] Exact Q'  
 $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha$  [difference]  $f_i(s, a)$  Approxim

kimate Q's

- Intuitive interpretation:
  - Adjust weights of active features
  - E.g., if something unexpectedly bad happens, blame the features that were on: disprefer all states with that state's features

```
• Formal justification: online least squares
                                             GWU
```



#### Example: Q-Pacman

$$Q(s,a) = 4.0 f_{DOT}(s,a) - 1.0 f_{GST}(s,a)$$



$$Q(s,a) = 3.0 f_{DOT}(s,a) - 3.0 f_{GST}(s,a)$$

AI-4511/6511

#### Q-Learning and Least Squares



#### Linear Approximation: Regression\*



Prediction:

$$\hat{y} = w_0 + w_1 f_1(x)$$

Prediction:

$$\hat{y}_i = w_0 + w_1 f_1(x) + w_2 f_2(x)$$

GWU

#### **Optimization:** Least Squares\*



# Minimizing Error\*

Imagine we had only one point x, with features f(x), target value y, and weights w:

$$\operatorname{error}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left( y - \sum_{k} w_{k} f_{k}(x) \right)^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \operatorname{error}(w)}{\partial w_{m}} = - \left( y - \sum_{k} w_{k} f_{k}(x) \right) f_{m}(x)$$

$$w_{m} \leftarrow w_{m} + \alpha \left( y - \sum_{k} w_{k} f_{k}(x) \right) f_{m}(x)$$

Approximate q update explained:

$$w_m \leftarrow w_m + \alpha \left[ r + \gamma \max_a Q(s', a') - Q(s, a) \right] f_m(s, a)$$
  
"target" "prediction"

AI-4511/6511

GWU

# Credit Assignment Problem

- Not easy to identify credit for each move in a Chess game
- If credit is only given at the end of the game, then..
  - Many good moves can get a negative credit if the end result is a loss
  - Many bad moves can get a positive credit if the end result is a win
  - Many many games need to be played before learning really happens
- One solution is to give rewards early on (Reward Shaping)
- If we try to give rewards early on, then..
  - Agent will maximize on those rewards, not the actual outcome

# **Reinforcement Learning Application Examples**

 <u>https://sagemaker-</u> <u>examples.readthedocs.io/en/latest/reinforcement\_learning/rl\_m</u> <u>ountain\_car\_coach\_gymEnv/rl\_mountain\_car\_coach\_gymEnv.ht</u> <u>ml</u>

# Let's (not) get historical

- Q-learning was introduced by Chris Watkins in 1989.
- Convergence proof by Watkins and Dayan in 1992.
- In 1981 "Delayed reinforcement learning", presented by Bozinovski's Crossbar Adaptive Array (CAA).
- The term "secondary reinforcement" is borrowed from animal learning theory, to model state values via backpropagation: the state value of the consequence situation is backpropagated to the previously encountered situations.
- In 2014, Google DeepMind patented an application of Q-learning to deep learning, titled "deep reinforcement learning" or "deep Q-learning" that can play Atari 2600 games at expert human levels.
- https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/71/91/4a/c5cf4ffa56f705/US201501005
  <u>30A1.pdf</u>

- Introduction
  - What is Reinforcement Learning
  - Handling MDPs, when we don't know T and R functions.
- Two broad categories of Reinforcement Learning (RL)
  - Model Based Simply try and learn T and R values. Then, calculate Q, V as usual.
  - Model Free Don't worry about T and R values. Learn Q, V values directly.
    - Q-Learning: Algorithm to learn Q values by trying. Update Q value using something like exponential moving average
    - [A useful background technique Exponential Moving Average]
- Exploration vs. exploitation in RL
  - Quantify exploration vs. exploitation
  - 3 methods: Random, Exploration function, Regret
  - How much exploration to do how to make it "time" based (Like in case of simulated annealing)
  - How to make it time based for each state, action combination (Exploration can go down with time)
- Advanced Topics
  - What is credit assignment problem in RL?
  - Is it more of a problem in case of episodic environment or non-episodic environments?
  - How we can use reward shaping (and what are the problems associated with it)?
  - In [Not discussed in class] How can we make a generic technique for reward shaping that is not environment based?

Summary

# 10 AI Commandments

- 1. "No model is perfect, but some models are useful" General AI and ML
- 2. "The algorithms that forget their history are doomed to repeat it." Graph Search vs. Tree Search
- *3. "Ask not what the state can do for you, ask what you can do in that state." Successor function concept in search problems*
- 4. "Your direction is more important than your speed" Informed search vs. uninformed search
- 5. "Fail early. Fail often. Fail forward". Constraint Satisfaction Problems
- 6. *"Reality dishes out experiences using probability, not plausibility." Expectimax and MDPs*
- 7. "The doer alone learneth." Reinforcement Learning

# Conclusion

- We're done with Part I: Search and Planning!
- We just started Learning!

